**3. Brownian motion.** Let C(I) be the space of continuous functions on I with the sup-norm and the corresponding Borel sigma-algebra. If  $W = (W_t)_{t \in I}$  is the standard Brownian motion as defined in Problem 12, it is easy to check that W is a C(I)-valued random variable. The distribution of W is called *Wiener measure*, a Borel probability measure on C(I).

Some generalities. Two C(I)-valued random variables X, Y have the same distribution if and only if they have the same finite dimensional distributions. This shows that SBM is well-defined as a C[0, 1]-valued random variable and that the properties (a),(b),(c) in Problem 13 constitute an equivalent definition of SBM. Also, to check independence of two C(I)-valued random variables X and Y, it is enough to check that  $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))$  is independent of  $(Y(s_1), \ldots, Y(s_m))$  for any  $t_j, s_i \in I$ . Advisory: In the problems below, it is better to use the defining properties of Brownian motion rather than any particular construction of it.

14 (*Regularity of Wiener measure*). For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq C(I)$  such that  $\mathbf{P}(W \in K) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ . Further, any open set of  $C_0(I) = \{f \in C(I) : f(0) = 0\}$  has positive Wiener measure.

**15.** Let  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_m < 1$  and  $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_0 = 0$ . Let *W* be standard BM. For  $0 \le k \le m$  define,

$$B_k(s) := \frac{W(t_k(1-s) + t_{k+1}s) - (x_k(1-s) + x_{k+1}s)}{\sqrt{t_{k+1} - t_k}}, \quad \text{for } s \in I.$$

Then, conditional on  $W(t_1) = x_1, \dots, W(t_m) = x_m$ , the random functions  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  are independent standard Brownian bridges.

16 (Exercise 1.9 in [MP]). If  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ , then standard Brownian motion is nowhere Hölder- $\alpha$  continuous. Here Hölder- $\alpha$  continuity of f at a point  $t_0$  means that  $\limsup_{\substack{h\to 0\\h^{\alpha}}} \frac{|f(t_0+h)-f(t_0)|}{h^{\alpha}} < \infty$ . [Remark: Observe that this proof does not work for Hölder-1/2 points].

17 (Exercise 1.12 in [MP]). In addition, for  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$  can we say that W + f is nowhere Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ ?

**18** (*Hölder*-1/2 *points*?). We say that  $t_0$  is a Hölder-1/2 point of f with constant C if  $\limsup_{h\to 0} \frac{|f(t_0+h)-f(t_0)|}{\sqrt{h}} < C$ . In this exercise, you will prove that almost surely, W has no Hölder-1/2 points with constant less than 0.1. Let  $\Delta W(I) := W(b) - W(a)$  if I = [a, b].

<sup>[</sup>MP] will indicate the book Brownian motion by Peter Mörters and Yuval Peres.

- 1. Fix  $\delta > 0$  and set  $\mathcal{A}_{\delta}$  be the event that there exists  $t \in I$  such that  $|W(t + h) W(t)| \leq 0.1 h^{\delta}$  for all  $h \in [-\delta, \delta]$ . The claim follows if we show that  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_{\delta}) = 0$  for any  $\delta > 0$ .
- 2. Let  $I_{n,k} = [k2^{-n}, (k+1)2^{-n}]$ . The parent of  $I_{n,k}$  is the unique  $I_{n-1}, j$  that contains  $I_{n,k}$ . Now, fix *m* such that  $2^{-m} < \delta$  and define  $S_m = \{I_{m,k} : 0 \le k \le 2^m 1\}$ . For p > m, define

$$S_p = \{I_{p,k}: \text{ the parent of } I_{n,k} \text{ is in } S_{p-1} \text{ and } |\Delta W(I_{n,k})| \le 0.2 \sqrt{2^{-n}} \}.$$

\_

If the "branching process"  $S_m, S_{m+1}, S_{m+2}...$  becomes extinct almost surely, then  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{A}_{\delta}) = 0$ .

3. Use Problem 15 to calculate the "offspring probabilities" in the branching process and hence conclude that extinction happens almost surely.

4

Dvoretsky proved that almost surely *W* does have Hölder-1/2 points with constant *C* if *C* is large enough but not if *C* is small enough. The above proof shows the latter for *C* < 0.1 but a second look will show that we can improve this a little. The proof here is a modification of the original idea of Paley, Wiener and Zygmund where they proved nowhere differentiability. Observe that the proof of Dvoretsky, Erdös and Kakutani does not say anything about Hölder-1/2 points.